



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/872,353	06/01/2001	Brian R. McCarthy	A019-P08127US	3630

33356 7590 05/28/2008  
SoCAL IP LAW GROUP LLP  
310 N. WESTLAKE BLVD. STE 120  
WESTLAKE VILLAGE, CA 91362

EXAMINER
----------

CHEVALIER, ALICIA ANN

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1794

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

05/28/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/872,353	MCCARTHY ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	ALICIA CHEVALIER	1794	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 February 2008.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) See Continuation Sheet is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) See Continuation Sheet is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                     | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____                                      |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)          | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____  | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                          |

Continuation of Disposition of Claims: Claims pending in the application are 314-318,320-328,330,331,333-342,344-352,354-366,368-374,376-389,391-398,400-420 and 422-437.

Continuation of Disposition of Claims: Claims rejected are 314-318,320-328,330,331,333-342,344-352,354-366,368-374,376-389,391-398,400-420 and 422-437.

Art Unit: 1794

### **RESPONSE TO AMENDMENT**

1. Claim 314-318, 320-328, 330-331, 333-342, 344-352, 354-366, 368-374, 376-389, 391-398, 400-420 are pending in the application, claims 1-313, 319, 329, 332, 343, 353, 367, 357, 390, 399 and 421 have been cancelled.

2. Amendments to the claims, filed on February 21, 2008, have been entered in the above-identified application.

### ***REJECTIONS REPEATED***

3. The 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph, rejection(s) of claims 331, 355, 378, 401 and 431 is repeated for reasons previously made of record in office action mailed November 1, 2007, page 3, paragraph #5.

4. The 35 U.S.C. §103 rejection over Mckillip (US Patent No. 5,462,488) is repeated for reasons previously made of record in the office action mailed November 1, 2007, pages 3-5, paragraph #6.

5. The 35 U.S.C. §103 rejection over Mckillip (US Patent No. 5,462,488) in view of Popat et al. (US Patent No. 5,407,718) is repeated for reasons previously made of record in the office action mailed November 1, 2007, pages 5-8, paragraph #7.

6. The 35 U.S.C. §103 rejection over Mckillip (US Patent No. 5,462,488) in view of Hollis et al. (US Patent No. 5,622,758) is repeated for reasons previously made of record in the office action mailed November 1, 2007, pages 8-9, paragraph #8.

Art Unit: 1794

7. The 35 U.S.C. §103 rejection over Mckillip (US Patent No. 5,462,488) in view of Hickenbotham et al. (US Patent No. 4,704,317) is repeated for reasons previously made of record in the office action mailed November 1, 2007, pages 9-10, paragraph #9.

### ***REJECTIONS***

8. **The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.**

### ***Specification***

9. The amendment filed February 21, 2008 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132(a) because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132(a) states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: “Thus, as illustrated in FIG. 12 and other figures the sheet is free pf tractor feed perforations.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

### ***ANSWERS TO APPLICANT’S ARGUMENTS***

10. Applicant’s arguments in the response filed February 21, 2008 regarding the limitation “printable business card sheet is free of tractor-feed perforations” of record have been carefully considered but are deemed unpersuasive.

Applicant argues that McKillip disclose tractor-feed perforations. Applicant has not clearly pointed out how the language of the claims distinguishes over the art and rejection.

Art Unit: 1794

11. Applicant's arguments in the response filed February 21, 2008 regarding the limitation "ultraremovable adhesive" of record have been carefully considered but are deemed unpersuasive.

Applicant argues that the adhesive in McKillips is not a "ultraremovable" asset forth in Dr. Ugolisk's Declaration filed on September 14, 2006. The declaration by Dr. Ugolick is unpersuasive for the responses proved in the office action mailed December 4, 2006.

### *Conclusion*

12. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alicia Chevalier whose telephone number is (571) 272-1490. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 am to 4:00 pm.

Art Unit: 1794

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rena Dye, can be reached on (571) 272-3186. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Alicia Chevalier/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1794  
5/28/2008